

**Minutes**  
**Campus Planning Committee Meeting**  
**November 5, 2015**  
**159 Education Building**

**Committee Members**

Seth Blair  
Chris Bruhn  
Aaron Crandall  
Gail Geiger  
Bill Elvey  
Shawn Kaeppler  
Jim LaGro  
Sarah Mangelsdorf  
Mike Pflieger  
Lance Raney  
Jim Skinner  
Kate VandenBosch

**FP&M Staff**

Teresa Adams  
Daniel Einstein  
Pete Heaslett  
Rob Kennedy  
Jeff Pollei  
Paul Umbeck

**Visitors**

John Hahn (DOA)  
Randy Matton (UWSA)  
Doug Sabatke (CALs)  
Andre Shui (UWF)  
Mike Warren (Rec Sports)

The chair of the committee, Provost Sarah Mangelsdorf, called the meeting to order at 8:35 a.m. A quorum did not exist, so the approval of the October 15, 2015 minutes was deferred until the next meeting. If committee members have any edits, they can send them to the secretary.

**2017-19 Capital Budget Exercise: Presentation on Lathrop Drive Utility Replacement Project Proposal, Part 1 (Jeff, Pollei, Campus Utilities Engineer)**

Elvey told the committee that this morning's presentation would be the first of three proposals being submitted for consideration in the campus' 2017-19 capital budget request. This presentation and the two that will follow at the November 19<sup>th</sup> meeting include a state funding component and as such, will need to have a prioritized ranking when they are submitted to UW-System on December 2<sup>nd</sup>. That ranking process will also occur at the November 19<sup>th</sup> meeting.

Elvey introduced Jeff Pollei, the campus utilities engineer, who made the presentation to the committee. His presentation included an overview the workings of the campus utility systems; how planning is done for utility projects; and, a summary of the major utility projects undertaken on campus during the past 15 years. He then presented the 2017-19 campus utility request which includes replacement of major utility corridors along Lathrop Drive and Bascom Hill.

Pollei noted that this work had been included in the 2005 Utility Master Plan but it had been deferred for a number of years, partly because the campus focused on providing utility infrastructure for its new facilities and partly because this project would best difficult work to undertake given the location, number, and condition of the different utility systems. All the work dedicated to expanding the campus utility infrastructure had been completed, so it was time to start on this work.

This project replaces, relocates and/or constructs new steam and chilled water, primary electric/signal communications, and civil (domestic water, sanitary sewer and storm sewer) utilities between Charter Street and Music Hall along Lathrop Drive and between Bascom Hall and Park Street in the Bascom Hill area. The campus, in conjunction with the Division of Facilities Development, recently completed a study that laid out a construction schedule and budget that divided the project into two parts. The hope that disruption to the Bascom Hill area will be minimized as much as possible although that area will likely be under construction for 12-18 months.

Part 1 is being requested for the 2017-19 biennium and the campus anticipates that Part 2 will be requested in the 2019-21 biennium. Taken together, the cost is nearly \$44 million, with the request for Part 1 coming in at just over \$28.7 million. Of that \$28.7M amount, approximately \$7.8M will be funded by the campus and the other \$20.9M will be requested of the state.

Elvey explained that the state requires split funding of all utility projects based on the campus' ratio of academic and research space to its auxiliary (housing, unions, and athletics) space.

At the conclusion of the presentation LaGro noted that there are many pedestrian/vehicle conflicts on Lathrop Drive. Currently work on and improvements to Lathrop Drive are scheduled in the Part 2 project. He asked if it was feasible to switch the parts of the project and undertake the Lathrop Drive work first. Pollei replied that while he agreed that Lathrop Drive is often hazardous, a lot of thought has gone into what work needs to occur and in what sequence and the project phasing is the most efficient and cost effective way to approach the entire project.

Skinner noted that the outlook for GFSB funding is not encouraging and he asked how this project would fit with those requests for academic needs. Elvey replied that the outlook for state funding is not good this coming biennium, but this project is helped by the fact that there are only three requests total from the Madison campus. Pollei added that the study examined the possibility of undertaking a series of smaller (under \$5M) projects to avoid enumeration, but the overall expense and completion time was just not feasible.

Geiger asked if this work was repair work. Elvey replied that in his opinion, repair work was work to fix something that had already broken and cited the recent work on Bascom Hill in front of the law building as an example. This work involves planning for replacements prior to any emergencies.

Einstein noted that the entire project occurs within the Bascom Hill Historic District and asked what funding and/or planning is occurring to enable landscape protection and restoration. Pollei responded that the most recent update to the project costs included line items for protecting the landscape and the archeologically significant areas of Bascom Hill. For example, there will be an expert on site supervising all the excavation and enhanced site restoration costs have also been budgeted.

Bruhn noted that there were issues during this past summer's utility project that caused problems at South Hall with diesel fumes and building damage. He asked if the proposed utility project had a plan to address those sorts of issues. Pollei replied that there will be regular meetings with those

who work in the Bascom Hill area and that building managers will also be engaged in the design and construction stages. Bruhn then asked if this project includes work on interior utilities in surrounding buildings in the Bascom Hill area. Elvey replied that it did not, and he reminded the committee that state funding for those sorts of repairs and replacements had been zeroed out this biennium. His priority will be to keep the building envelopes and roofs intact, and to continue planning for maintenance projects despite the lack of state funding.

There were no other questions and the meeting was adjourned at 9:40 a.m.

*Teresa Adams, Secretary*