

**Minutes**  
**Campus Planning Committee Meeting**  
**November 19, 2015**  
**6201 Microbial Sciences Building**

**Committee Members**

Seth Blair  
Chris Bruhn  
Aaron Crandall  
David Drake  
Bill Elvey  
Sarah Mangelsdorf  
Mike Pflieger  
Lance Raney  
Jamie Schauer  
Karl Scholz  
Jim Skinner  
Kate VandenBosch

**FP&M Staff**

Teresa Adams  
Gary Brown  
Pete Heaslett  
Brent Lloyd

Rob Kennedy  
Doug Rose  
Paul Umbeck

**Visitors**

Simon Claycomb (Army ROTC)  
Greg Goar (Navy ROTC)  
Marce Folk (DoIT)  
John Hahn (DOA)  
Jim Johannes (School of Business/ROTC  
faculty advisor)  
Lynn Hummel (CALs)  
Jeff Kosloske (UWSA)  
Christopher Murdoch (Air Force ROTC)  
Doug Sabatke (CALs)  
Andre Shui (UWF)

The chair of the committee, Provost Sarah Mangelsdorf, called the meeting to order at 8:35 a.m. The revised minutes of the October 15<sup>th</sup> and October 29<sup>th</sup> meetings were approved.

**2017-19 Capital Budget Exercise: Consolidated Officer Education Facility**

Elvey told the committee that this morning's presentation would be the second of three proposals being submitted for consideration in the campus' 2017-19 capital budget request. Elvey introduced Jim Johannes, the faculty advisor for the UW-Madison Officer Education program.

Johannes presentation included a history of the ROTC facilities on the UW-Madison campus. He detailed the educational excellence and innovation of the Air Force, Army and Navy officer education programs and then turned to their facility needs. The programs are scattered across campus in three different locations and each program has been moved many times from their first home in the Red Gym. Each program is currently housed in a facility that doesn't include modern classroom or training space. These same buildings also occupy sites designated for future campus building expansion.

A consolidated officer education facility would provide economies of scale with shared spaces, but more importantly, it would give the officer education programs a permanent "home". The proposal before the committee this morning is an approximately \$32 million, 43,440 ASF/72,400 GSF building that would be located between Monroe Street and Randall Avenue, near the existing UWPD Building. It would provide modern classroom, training, drill and support spaces for all

three service branches. Johannes noted that a space for the ROTC programs has long been an identified need on the campus, but there were always other priorities that were advanced for GFSB funding instead. Additionally, it has been difficult because matching funds were hard to come by. After many years, the project has finally risen to the top of the campus priority list.

At the conclusion of the presentation, the committee had questions about the programs: the service commitment that students have to make (4 years for Air Force, Army and Navy, 4 to 9 years for the Marines) and what sort of classes the students take. Johannes indicated that all the ROTC students are taking regular course loads as well as devoting 5-6 hours a week for ROTC training.

Then questions turned to the facility itself. VandenBosch asked where the building would be site. Elvey replied that there is a study underway to determine the exact site, but it would be somewhere in the area between Randall Avenue and Monroe Street. The exact site will be determined in the spring. Scholz asked if there was any chance of garnering federal funds for the building. Johannes replied that historically the federal government has paid for salaries and scholarships. The university's commitment is to provide space, supplies and support staff.

#### 2017-19 Capital Budget Exercise: Walnut Street Greenhouse Replacement, Phase II

Doug Sabatke, Assistant Dean from CALS, made this presentation. This project completes the renovation of the Walnut Street Greenhouse complex that was first proposed in 1992 and partially completed in 2005. The project proposal in front of the committee will first construct two greenhouses at the West Madison Agricultural Research Station on Mineral Point Road to house research projects that will be displaced by the demolition of the 1960's era greenhouses at Walnut Street. The project will then demolish the old aluminum frame structures and replace them with state of the art greenhouse space. To avoid shading from the Walnut Street Co-Generation Plant, the new greenhouses will be built along Observatory Drive. The site of the demolished greenhouses will be converted to a surface parking lot with the result that the entire south side of the greenhouse range will be surface parking.

The goal of this project is to provide flexible modern research greenhouse space and demolish old, inefficient and expensive to maintain space. The cost is approximately \$19 million and a request is being made for 50% of that amount to come from state funding and the other 50% from CALS.

At the conclusion of the presentation, Crandall asked how much parking would be lost and Sabatke indicated approximately 11 parking stalls. Crandall then asked if it was possible to maximize the site, or if the greenhouses could be built up a couple of levels with parking underneath. Sabatke replied that there are major utility lines running through the area and that, as well as a higher water table, would make that sort of construction difficult. Gary Brown added that in the past the campus had explored the possibility of building a parking structure with greenhouses at the top level, but it was not financially feasible.

Paul Umbeck asked if the existing greenhouses were glass. Sabatke replied that they are and that the new greenhouses will be a combination of glass and polycarbonate which is much more efficient to heat, cool and maintain.

VandenBosch added that the current greenhouses are already heavily used by principal investigators but that approximately 100-150 grad students also use them for research as well.

There were no other questions about the project so the committee moved onto the task of ranking the campus' 2017-19 capital budget request. The provost asked Elvey if FPM staff had any recommendation that they would like to make as a starting point for discussion. Elvey replied that it had been discussed and if they were asked to offer an opinion, they would recommend

1. Consolidated Officer Education Facility
2. Lathrop Drive/Bascom Hill Utility Project
3. Walnut Street Greenhouse Renovation, Phase II

VandenBosch asked if there would be any private funding available if the GFSB request for the Officer Education Facility would be reduced (similar to what happened with the chemistry request). Elvey replied that he couldn't say for sure - the possibility for reduced GFSB funding exists and the campus would have to make a decision if an arbitrary funding split was determined for this or any other project.

Skinner noted that Elvey seemed to imply that proposing a funding split was not a good thing in general, and wondered why a funding split wouldn't put the campus in a stronger position for GFSB funding. Elvey replied that the risk is that the decision for private funding would and could be arbitrary. Instead of 50% for the Greenhouse project, for example, the state could say the project was entirely research related and as such the campus would need to raise all the funds regardless of its ability to do so. Schauer asked if Elvey felt that the state viewed research space differently from academic space. Elvey replied that the bar seems to be getting higher when requesting GFSB funding for research buildings although our last two buildings (Babcock and Meat Science) were able to garner 50% GFSB matches.

The discussion then moved to the project ranking. There was discussion about the utility project and whether its ranking would have any influence on its funding. Elvey noted that the campus' GFSB metric for major projects was now about \$60M, and every project counted toward that amount, so ultimately it could make a difference.

It was moved and seconded to rank the projects as follows:

1. Consolidated Officer Education Facility
2. Walnut Street Greenhouse Renovation, Phase II
3. Lathrop Drive/Bascom Hill Utility Project

The motion was put to a vote and passed with a majority of votes. There was no further business before the committee and the meeting was adjourned at 9:50 a.m.

*Teresa Adams, Secretary*