Minutes Campus Planning Committee Meeting October 27, 2016 On Wisconsin Room, the Red Gym

# Committee Members

Seth Blair Aaron Crandall David Drake Joel Gerrits Heidi Lang Sarah Mangelsdorf Lesley Moyo Mike Pflieger Doug Sabatke Jamie Schauer Jim Skinner Margaret Tennessen Kate VandenBosch

#### FP&M Staff

Teresa Adams Gary Brown Pete Heaslett Linda Leifker Brent Lloyd Dan Okoli Aaron Williams

### **Visitors**

Chris Bruhn (L&S) Marce Folk (DoIT) Neal Mack (DoIT) Andrea Shilling (UWF) Cigdem Unal (OCCFR) Mike Warren (Rec Sports)

The chair of the committee, Provost Sarah Mangelsdorf, called the meeting to order at 8:35 a.m. She introduced herself, welcomed everyone to the committee and asked committee members to introduce themselves.

Provost Mangelsdorf then provided a brief summary of the committee's purpose and highlighted its importance and impact on campus. She stated that members should note that they represent the entire campus not just their respective departments. She added that tough decisions are made by the committee, and she hopes members will act on what they feel is best for the institution as a whole.

Approval of the minutes of the January 28, 2016 meeting were deferred due to a lack of quorum.

#### Update on 2017-19 Capital Budget Request

Margaret Tennessen gave the committee a brief update on the status of the campus' 2017-19 capital budget request. The campus' three GFSB-funded priorities were (in order): Officer Education Facility; Walnut Street Greenhouse Renovation, Phase II; and the Lathrop Drive/Bascom Hill Utility project. The non GFSB projects included a renovation to Slichter Hall and the construction of a new parking ramp on the Lot 62 surface lot. All of those projects, with the exception of the Officer Education Facility, were approved by the Board of Regents in August 2016 and have been submitted to the State Department of Administration as part of the UW-System 2017-19 Capital Budget Request. DOA is reviewing these project submittals and will make a recommendation to the Governor on a state capital budget early in 2017. At this point, the campus is waiting to hear if there are any questions about any of our submittals. Next approval point will be in March 2017

when the State Building Commission will take action on the budget request. A question was asked about the deferral of the Officer Education Facility. FPM staff replied that UW System chose to focus on renovation of existing facilities this biennium rather than the construction of new space. That coupled with projects that weren't funded in the 2015-17 budget and were resubmitted caused the deferral. VandenBosch expressed concern about the low priority number given to the Greenhouse project by System staff.

A quorum was present and the minutes of the January 28, 2016 meeting were approved.

## Update on the 2015 Campus Master Plan

Gary Brown provided a final update on the 2015 campus master plan. He began his presentation with background on the 2005 master plan and the 2015 update so that new committee members would have some context for the discussion today. He detailed the guiding principles of both plans and then focused on the components and recommendations of the 2015 plan. Brown also discussed the creation of Campus Design Guideline which, along with the approved plan, will be integral to the approval of institutional zoning for the campus, and will save nearly 4-6 months in the city approval process of campus building projects. He told the committee that the 2015 update is nearly completed, and is currently under review by the City of Madison. City approval of the plan is currently scheduled for early 2017.

At the conclusion of the presentation, Drake asked if there would be opportunities for faculty to participate and contribute as the plan is implemented. Brown replied that he foresaw opportunities for faculty involvement as landscape and storm water best practices are developed as well as research and curricular involvement.

Crandall asked how decisions like the one about the Amazon Pick up facility factored into or impacted the master plan, whether these sorts of decisions would become more prevalent as the need for revenue grows. Brown replied that the plan provides exactly that sort of information. As facilities are sited on campus, the plan provides the framework for their location.

VandenBosch asked how the plan has been received by the various neighborhood groups around the campus. Brown replied that the neighborhood groups had by and large been receptive to the plan. The three biggest concerns are noise, lighting and traffic (including parking). In some groups, the density and height of buildings has been a concern. The city is also undertaking its own neighborhood plans for the Regent Street, University Avenue and downtown areas so there has been a lot of information being shared.

Drake also commented that many downtown residents who are not associated with the UW are taxing UW resources and wondered how that is being dealt with. Brown replied that the city and downtown landlords are trying to address the latter issue with their own amenities or new open spaces.

Tennessen asked Brown how much of the 2005 master plan had been completed. Brown replied that about 35% of the recommendations of that plan had been implemented as compared to about 85% of the 1995 plan. The most recent plan has found that there is still room for any on campus

development that needs to occur. Brown concluded his presentation by saying he is available to provide updates on the master plan for any school, college or department that wishes it.

There was no further business before the committee and the meeting was adjourned at 9:30 a.m. The next scheduled meeting is November 17<sup>th</sup>, in the Overture Room at Gordon Dining and Event Center.

*Teresa Adams* Secretary