

**Revised Minutes
Campus Planning Committee Meeting
October 15, 2015
6201 Microbial Sciences Building**

Committee Members

Seth Blair
Chris Bruhn
Aaron Crandall
Gail Geiger
Bill Elvey
Shawn Kaeppler
Jim LaGro
Sarah Mangelsdorf
Mike Pflieger
Ian Robertson
Jamie Schauer
Jim Skinner
Kate VandenBosch

FP&M Staff

Teresa Adams
Gary Brown
Daniel Einstein
Pete Heaslett
Rob Kennedy
Dan Okoli
Margaret Tennessen

Visitors

John Hahn (DOA)
Jeff Kosloske (UWSA)
Doug Sabatke (CALs)
Mike Warren (Rec Sports)

The chair of the committee, Provost Sarah Mangelsdorf, called the meeting to order at 8:35 a.m. The Provost asked for a motion to approve the minutes of the May 14, 2015 meeting. The minutes were approved as distributed.

Preparation for 2017-19 Capital Budget Exercise (Bill Elvey, Associate Vice Chancellor, FPM)

Elvey made a presentation to prepare the committee for its work on the 2017-19 capital budget exercise. He utilized information that had been presented to all the UW-System campuses at a September planning conference. In brief, the availability of General Fund Supported Borrowing (state funding) for capital projects declined precipitously last biennium and it is not expected to increase substantially going forward. A majority of the UW-System's requests for state funding for projects were not funded and a number of projects requesting program revenue supported borrowing were not funded either. Going forward, UW-System and the campuses must rethink how capital projects are going to be requested and funded – past practice of expectations of project approvals and funding allocations are no longer sustainable. Renovation of existing space will be expected as well larger campus contributions toward the overall cost. Additionally, there is no state support for major maintenance funding in the 2015-17 biennium, which places more stress on campus facilities and potentially campus funding sources. Elvey referred committee members to his last slide which was essentially a capital budget funding history for the UW-Madison campus and a planning reference for future funding. The planning metric identifies less funding for major capital projects and more for all agency repair and maintenance projects as well as instructional upgrade projects.

At the conclusion of the presentation, a number of committee members expressed concerns about the ramifications of the reduced funding on the campus' ability to upgrade and maintain its

facilities. The deans, especially, believe it would \ be difficult for the university (and its schools and colleges) to fill that funding gap with their own fundraising.

Skinner asked about the state-mandated funding split for the chemistry project, specifically when the campus needed to have that \$25 million raised and who was responsible for raising it. Elvey replied that the request to construct the project is scheduled to be before both the Board of Regents and State Building Commission in the spring of 2016. At that time, the campus will have to confirm that its share of the funding is in hand. Campus leadership is working to secure that funding.

Review and approval of policy for campus heritage plaques (Daniel Einstein, Historic and Cultural Resources Manager)

Einstein presented the proposed policy to the committee. He explained that the existing heritage plaques in the Bascom area and on the CALS campus had been installed in conjunction with specific commemorations. Since that time there have been requests for additional plaques. FPM staff thought that it would be best to have a policy to provide guidance on what was appropriate for commemorating on a plaque, how the plaque would look, where it could be placed.

Committee members questioned how the content would be vetted, who would decide if a plaque was appropriate for placement on campus and who would make a final decision in the case of controversy. Blaire moved approval of the policy and Crandall; seconded. After discussion, committee members came to a consensus that the committee should review and approve plaques. LaGro moved that the policy be amended to reflect Campus Planning Committee review and approval. Schauer seconded the motion to amend. The motion to amend the wording to reflect that the CPC will review and approve the plaques passed unanimously. Subsequently, the amended motion was put to a vote and passed unanimously. Einstein thanked the committee and indicated that he would revise the policy accordingly and post it on the CPLA website.

Closed Session

Crandall moved that the committee move into closed Session, as permitted by s.19.85(1)(f), Wis. Stats. to consider a naming request for a campus facility. Schauer seconded and the committee moved into closed session. The committee adjourned from closed session at 9:40 a.m.

Teresa Adams, Secretary