



Facilities Planning & Management

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

MEETING MINUTES - APPROVED

Campus Planning Committee

November 21, 2019

School of Education – 1000 Bascom Mall

Room 159 – Wisconsin Idea Room

8:30am to 10:00am

1. CALL TO ORDER

Present: Craig Berridge, Aaron Crandall, Joel Gerrits, Aristotle Georgiades, Yevgenya Grinblat, Mark Markel, Tina Marshalek, Kurt Paulsen, Andrew Pietroske, Ian Robertson, Liz Sadowski, Karl Scholz, Bret Shaw, Linsey Steege, Lindsey Stoddard Cameron, Clifford Thurber, Mark Wells, Eric Wilcots

Excused: Shelby O’Conner, Ben Sharp, Leon Shohet, Julie Zachman

FP&M: Jay Bieszke, Gary Brown, David Darling, Rhonda James, Patrick Kass, Rob Kennedy, Brent Lloyd, Kip McMahan, Missy Nergard, Margaret Tennesen, Cindy Torstveit, Aaron Williams, Jesse Luckey Winters

Guests: Chris Bruhn, Lindsey Honeyager, Sadat Khan, Doug Sabatke, Randi Smith, Kurt Stephenson, Cathy Weiss

Scholz, committee chair, called the meeting to order at 8:31am.

2. OLD BUSINESS

- a. Approval of Meeting Minutes from November 14, 2019 by Committee Chair, unanimous consent.

3. CAPITAL BUDGET PRESENTATIONS (Refer to Slide Deck PDF on file)

- a. **College of Agricultural and Life Sciences: Doug Sabatke, Assistant Dean for Facilities, Planning, Health, and Safety**
 - i. 30 buildings on campus spread throughout the Near West Neighborhood.
 - ii. Projects currently under construction:
 1. Meat Science & Animal Biologics Discovery Building
 2. Center for Dairy Research (CDR) / Babcock Dairy Plant Renovation
 - iii. School also contains twelve (12) Agricultural Research Stations (ARS) across the state.
- b. Plant Germplasm Facility at West Madison ARS
 - i. 2021-23 Biennium. 32,600 GSF, \$12.9M, 100% Gift/Grant funded.
 - ii. Replaces current Beet & Carrot Lab and Horticultural Annex, both located along Willow Creek on campus.
- c. Renovation of Agriculture Hall Landscape Architecture Space
 - i. 2021-23 Biennium, 12,800 GSF, \$3M for Phase 1, \$3M for Phase 2, 100% Gift/Grant funded.
- d. Strategic Objectives:
 - i. Increase collaboration between departments, programs and USDA ARS scientists.
 - ii. Create opportunities & respond to 21st century challenges.
 - iii. Optimize the use of existing resources.
 - iv. Maintain high quality of service to faculty and students.

- v. Enhance student experience and access.
 - 1. Paulsen: I do not see these projects in the 2021-23 biennium scoring sheet?
 - a. Markel: They were ranked out in the 2023-25 biennium by FP&M.
 - b. Paulsen: If ranked further out and funds such as Gift/Grant (G/G) become available can they move up in priority?
 - c. Darling: Yes, we placed the projects in the biennium where we thought funding, sequencing, and need aligned.
 - d. Sabatke: If federal funding comes through than yes, we would anticipate moving sooner rather than later. The renovation project in Ag Hall has an enabling project before it can be implemented.
- e. **UW-Madison Transportation Services: Patrick Kass, Director**
 - i. 13,000 spaces on campus currently to support 75,000 people on campus any given day.
 - ii. UW has the second lowest parking ratio of any major research university in the country at 0.18 spaces per capita.
 - iii. Most parking garages are 'full' during the week due to visitor demand. There is a need to increase visitor parking as well as overall supply in the southeast portion of campus.
- f. Dayton Street Parking Garage
 - i. 2023-25 biennium, 350 stalls, \$27M, 100% PRSB
 - ii. Partially above and below grade with a green roof/plaza on the top.
 - iii. There are currently 500 people on a parking waiting list, with most of those requests for this part of campus.
 - iv. These projects need to be constructed ahead of the buildings and before parking lots are removed to ensure at a minimum the current parking demand is met. They can be viewed as enabling projects.
 - v. Facility would provide both visitor and permit parking.
- g. Southeast Campus Parking Garage
 - i. 2023-25 biennium, 500 spaces, \$20M, 100% PRSB
 - ii. Above ground garage.
 - iii. Facility would provide both visitor and permit parking.
 - iv. Southeast portion of campus would net ~500 spaces upon delivery of both garages.
- h. Strategic Objectives:
 - i. Increase campus inventory to accommodate an additional 2,000 spaces, primarily visitors.
 - ii. Address current parking demand and desired location on campus.
 - iii. Remove surface parking to create space for future educational programming.
 - iv. Meet existing demands while anticipating future growth.
 - v. Maintain high quality of service to faculty, staff, and visitors.
 - 1. Thurber: Geology museum has had issues parking buses, any plans for increasing parking for those users?
 - a. Kass: Lot 45, 1 block to the east, has space for 4-5 buses. Bus operates need to coordinate with campus prior to arrival so they can be directed to the available locations.
 - 2. Georgiades: Lot 91, why hasn't the university obtained land in this area of campus?
 - a. Kass: Apartments were built on private property. UW did try to acquire the space, but was unsuccessful.
 - b. Darling: UW has limitations on the value it can pay for land, compounded by the fact that we telegraph our plans via our planning efforts which the adjacent land owners pay attention too.
 - 3. Sadowski: Some of the building are being built on existing parking lots. Can we put new buildings on top of parking structures?
 - a. Kass: We use a campus master plan approach to meeting our needs We do not designate parking to a building per se. Parking under buildings is very expensive when compared to surface lots or above grade structures. As an auxiliary it is difficult to have the funding available when the buildings are planned. Buildings above parking also creates inefficiencies in the parking layout itself, Union South is an example of this.
 - b. Sadowski: Why can't the people who want the building pay for the parking?
 - c. Kass: State statute forbids public funds being used to pay for parking.

4. Wilcots: Is the Dayton Street Garage dependent on the Humanities building?
 - a. Kass: No, the garage needs to be constructed ahead of the Humanities building, but that timeline is not yet known. Transportation would like to do this as soon as possible, but the university currently does not yet own all the land on this block.

4. 2021-27 Six-Year Capital Development Plan Prioritization
 - a. Darling clarified one project in the ranking sheets.
 - i. 2023-25 – WI State Enumerated List, FPM Rank #13 (score 64) SMPH-WARF Renovation (Floors 3-7 & 10) should be moved to 2023-25 - 100% Gift/Grant under BUS-Facilities Master Plan Implementation Phase 1.
 - ii. Provost reiterated the importance of this committee ranking and the reason for the compressed timeline. UW needs to provide our campus recommendations to UW System by December 13, 2019. Both the FP&M ranking and CPC ranking will be forwarded up to the Chancellor for a final ranking supplied to UW System by the Chancellor.
 1. Markel: What should the committee expect is a realistic amount UW-Madison will receive in GFSB for 2021-23?
 - a. Darling: We'll submit for much more, but \$300M is a good GFSB planning number. Lower than what we received last biennium, but historically more accurate.
 - iii. Darling gave brief overview of FP&M scoring criteria and priorities, which include:
 1. Maximize the use and reuse of existing facilities.
 2. Create adaptable, efficient & easily maintainable facilities.
 3. Reduce deferred maintenance.
 4. Be strategically aligned to optimize use of resources.
 - iv. The committee has six total sheets. Two sheets for each biennium. There is a WI State Enumerated list and 100% Gift/Grant list per biennium. FP&M recommends that the projects per bienniums are set based on enabling projects, planning studies, and funding sequencing. Therefore, prioritize projects only within each biennium, not against other bienniums.
 - b. Discussion (note, points below are a transcription of the discussion that occurred)
 - i. Markel: Kinesiology is education's highest priority, but placed out in the 2025-27 biennium which from their presentation seems more pressing than that.
 1. Darling: Kinesiology is unique in the sense of it is an enabling project related to the MSC renovation. Before the Natatorium can be removed, UW needs to find space for Kinesiology. There is a \$12M project to create a ten-year solution in MSC to accommodate them until they are relocated to the new Gym/Nat facility, or new facility.
 2. Honeyager: MSC can cover most of the needs of the department. There is a portion like gym space that cannot be accommodated so there will need to be off campus solutions for that need. We agree, 10 years is a long time for this off campus condition to function.
 - ii. Berridge: I understand FP&M's scoring criteria. I'd like assistance beyond FP&M, what are the campus priorities related to educational and teaching guidance?
 1. Provost: FP&M is not working in a vacuum. They meet regularly with the S/C/D deans to understand needs. There is great attention paid to the mission of the university. There is a balancing act between many variables included; student impact, research expenditures, maintenance of buildings, etc.
 - iii. Georgiades: L&S and Wilcots gave a great presentation on the Humanities building. There were extra floors if I recall, is that being coordinated? Also, #17 for the Art Lofts building seems very low?
 1. Darling: Yes, the removal of Humanities Hall is a multi-phase project with coordination across other units.
 2. Provost: It is difficult to look at any of these lists. With limited resources and real needs only a few will move forward. Just because something is positioned low doesn't mean it'll take until the next biennium to deliver. Needs and priorities are constantly changing.
 - iv. Discussion around impacts to moving projects out further related to recruitment and retention of faculty and staff.

- v. Grinblat: There appears to be missing projects, i.e. Birge Hall renovation?
 - 1. Darling: There is a list of projects that did not make the six-year recommendation and hence are put in to a 2027+ biennium bucket.
 - vi. Stoddard Cameron: What will be placed in the space of the existing Humanities Hall once it is removed?
 - 1. Darling: That is unknown. The Campus Master Plan indicates two separate structures, but we still do not know if it will be renovated and repurposed or deconstructed.
 - vii. Discussion around MSC remodel. Benefits for the project and what the Campus Master Plan indicates for that area.
 - viii. Berridge: Do projects from 2023+ get reevaluated next time?
 - 1. Provost: Yes, it's a continuum of planning.
5. Final CPC Ranking (refer to meeting materials/attachments)
- a. 2021-23 WI State Enumerated and 100% Gift/Grant projects
 - i. Motion to accept made by Markel, seconded by Marshalek, approved by unanimous consent.
 - b. 2023-25 WI State Enumerated and 100% Gift/Grant projects
 - i. Motion to accept made by Robertson, seconded by Steege, approved by unanimous consent.
 - c. 2025-27 WI State Enumerated and 100% Gift/Grant projects
 - i. Motion to accept made by Marshalek, seconded by Markel, approved by unanimous consent.
6. ANNOUNCEMENTS
- a. Next meeting is February 27, 2020 – School of Education Room 159
7. MEETING ADJOURNMENT
- a. Scholz adjourned the meeting at 10:10 AM by unanimous consent.